## FRANCE UNDER ATTACK

# Click here to view the PDF.

â??lâ??d prefer to die on my feet than to live on my knees.â?•

- Stéphane Charbonnier, martyred editor-in-chief of the French newspaper, Charlie Hebdo

#### **EVERGREEN VIRTUAL ADVISOR**

Introduction by Tyler Hay

For many of our readers, Evergreenâ??s business relationship with Louis Gave is well known. Over the years, a true friendship has formed with the founding partner of GaveKal Research. Though Louis resides in Hong Kong, he and his family are French.

When news broke of the terror attack in Paris last week, my first thought was of them.

We are relieved to relay that Louisâ?? family and GaveKal colleagues (several of whom were in Paris at the time of the attacks) are safe and sound.

Given Louis' background, as well as his service in the French military, the following piece offers his unique perspective on the impact of the recent events. For many Americans this was an all too familiar reminder of our own experience with terrorism. As we all grieve alongside those impacted by the Paris attacks one cannot ignore the consequences it will have politically and economically. Countries around the world are being forced to confront the fallout created by the instability in the Middle East. Louis outlines why he thinks the attacks we witnessed in Paris may mark a turning point in the geopolitical landscape and the war on terror. We are thankful to Louisâ?? for sharing this with our readership, as this is only available to others through their subscription based research service.

Next week, we will change lenses from geopolitics and examine the economic and financial implications facing Europe and the Middle East in the inaugural issue of *For What Itâ??s Worth* (a new letter from our Chief Economist, Worth Wray). For today, please read Louisâ?? emotional, defiant, and thoughtful response to last weekâ??s horrific attacks in Paris.

Tyler\_Hay\_
TYLER HAY / Chief Executive Office
To contact Tyler, email:
thay@evergreengavekal.com

### FRANCE UNDER ATTACK

Louis-Vincent Gave, GaveKal

In the worst days of the Northern Ireland troubles, British policymakers spoke of a â??tolerable level of violenceâ?•. It was taken for granted that senseless and murderous acts would be committed, but what mattered was ensuring that these did not â??get out of handâ?•.

In the past year, France has experienced three such acts: the Charlie-Hebdo and kosher supermarket murders of January, the botched (thanks to a French civilian, three US soldiers and

a 62-year old British IT manager) mass-shooting attempt on an Amsterdam-Paris train, and Fridayâ??s multi-pronged attack against entertainment areas in the French capital. Of the three, Fridayâ??s attacks were the most shocking as their careful planning, meticulous execution, and the grim death-toll involved makes it clear that this was not the work of â??lone-wolvesâ?•, but an orchestrated operation à la 9/11. Continuing the analogy with the Irish Republican Army, or even Al-Qaeda, leaves one with an uncomfortable feeling as at least the Irish terrorists, and even the nihilists at Al Qaeda, had political goals. The first wanted to see the British leave Northern Ireland; the second wanted to see an end of the US military support to Saudi Arabia. Today, what are the political goals of ISIS vis à vis France? What is the aim of these killings?

For the past few years, the Middle East has been gripped by a civil war (broadly pitting Sunnis against Shias, but also secularist Sunnis versus fundamentalists, as well as Kurds against Turks) that is more complicated than the Spanish Civil War (where communists liquidated anarchists, before being in turn put to the sword by Franquists, themselves divided between fascists and catholic traditionalists). Still, if for Spain the broader arch of the civil war was Franquists (supported by fascist Germany and Italy) against the popular front (very loosely supported by Britain, France and then the Soviet union), the broader arch of the Middle Eastern civil war has been Shias led by Iran, and supported by Russia, against Sunnis which are supported by Saudi Arabia and Qatar. And this is where it gets complicated because of all the Western powers, France has undeniably been the one leaning the most aggressively against the Shias in favor of the Sunni side of the ledger (the side of the civil war ledger that ISIS itself emanates from). It was France which revealed that Assad had crossed the â??red lineâ?• of using chemical weapons, and it was France that agitated to intervene against Assadâ??s regime before President Obama and the British Parliament decided that letting the Russians handle the situation was a better course of action.

And so today, as the Middle Eastern civil war leaks over into Europe, one is forced to confront the question of ISISâ??s political goals in attacking France. Clearly, ISIS does not subscribe to the old â??the enemy of my enemy is my friendâ?• mantra. And so the only possible answer to the question of what ISIS is trying to achieve by attacking France is â??nothingâ?•. The Islamists simply attack us because they hate us. And the only goal to be achieved with these killings is to cower an entire population into changing its way of life, whether that be imposing restrictions on free speech (depicting the prophet Mohammed, or even discussing the more disturbing part of the prophetâ??s biography), or now, simply going out and letting loose on a Friday night. In that respect, the choice of entertainment venues as attack points is surely not co-incidental.

Indeed, when Al-Qaeda attacked the World Trade Center, the goal was to bring US finance to its knees (and from there the US economy). When, a year later, Al-Qaeda attacked Spanish trains on the eve of Spainâ??s election, the aim was also clear: tip the electoral scale away from the Peopleâ??s Party which had supported the deployment of US troops in Afghanistan and Iraq in favor of the Spanish Socialist Workersâ?? Party, which did not support the deployments. With Fridayâ??s attack on Paris, ISIS has clearly shown that its goal is nothing short of upending our entire way of life.

Like the Taliban which banned sports events, music, and celebrations, the ISIS nihilists offer a vision of the world so foreign to any of us as to be incomprehensible. And this nihilist vision now presents the French government with a genuine  $\hat{a}$ ? how to responda? challenge. With the obvious answer being that the terrorist safe-haven that is the ISIS  $\hat{a}$ ? state $\hat{a}$ ? in Syria and Iraq, and the source of much of the destabilization currently unfolding in Europe, must now be taken out. Conceptually, could the scale of these attacks mean that France would be able to request

the help of its NATO allies in an a??Article 5a?• retaliation against ISIS? After all, if Churchill could do a deal with Stalin against the Nazi nihilists (and state in the House of Commons: â??If Hitler invaded hell, I would, at the very least, make a favorable reference to the devil in this houseâ?•), then surely the Quai dâ??Orsay now has to hold its nose and switch its focus from removing Assad to wiping out ISIS?

With that in mind, and in the context of the broader Middle Eastern civil war, this weekendâ??s tragedy is good news for Russia and Iran, and bad news for the Gulf states as attention will increasingly shift to neutralizing the source of the fundamentalist ideology. One immediate result of the Paris attacks was to win support for accelerated US-Russian backed talks between all the main regional powers that aim for a resolution to the Syrian civil war. Last weekendâ??s military-style terrorist act in Paris will hopefully turn out to be ISISâ??s â??Operation Barabarossaâ?•\*, not an action marking the apex of its powers, but one which sparks a coordinated response leading to its eventual liquidation.

#### **OUR LIKES AND DISLIKES.**

No changes this week.

Likes

DISCLOSURE: This report is for informational purposes only and does not constitute a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities mentioned herein. This material has been prepared or is distributed solely for informational purposes only and is not a solicitation or an offer to buy any security or instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. All of the recommendations and assumptions included in this presentation are based upon current market conditions as of the date of this presentation and are subject to change. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. All investments involve risk including the loss of principal. All material presented is compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Information contained in this report has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, Evergreen Capital Management LLC makes no representation as to its accuracy or completeness, except with respect to the Disclosure Section of the report. Any opinions expressed herein reflect our judgment as of the date of the materials and are subject to change without notice. The securities discussed in this report may not be suitable for all investors and are not intended as recommendations of particular securities, financial instruments or strategies to particular clients. Investors must make their own investment decisions based on their financial situations and investment objectives. â??The specific securities and ETFs identified and described do not represent all of the securities purchased, held, or sold for advisory clients, and you should not assume that investments in the securities were or will be profitable. CVS Corp. General Electric, JP Morgan, Accenture, Vanguard Dividend Appreciation ETF, iShares Core S&P 500 ETF and Powershares S&P 500 Low Volatility ETF are used to illustrate examples of market volatility. You should not assume that an investment in any of these securities was or will be profitable. ECM currently holds CVS, General Electric and JPMorgan, and purchases it for client accounts, if ECM believes that it is a suitable investment for the clients considering various factors, including investment objective and risk tolerance.