## The Sprint to 5G (Part II)

*"5G will be the backbone of our digital economies and societies worldwide."* – Günther Oettinger, European Commissioner for Digital Economy

When we published the first edition of <u>The Sprint to 5G</u> last March, we made the case that evolutions in the telecom industry typically take place once a decade and that the 2020s will be an era defined by the rollout and adoption of 5G. Those who watched this year's Super Bowl are sure to recall the unforgettable 5G ads from Verizon and T-Mobile, both touting superior networks. But in a hilarious back-and-forth exchange between the two carriers' Twitter accounts, they highlighted that there is still a lot of confusion in the marketplace about 5G and whether or not it has arrived on the shores of the land of the free and the home of the brave.



To help clarify the confusion, 5G in its <del>purest</del> promised form is fifth-generation wireless technology that will allow devices to transmit data at significantly higher rates and bandwidth than preceding cellular technologies. And this long-awaited technology hasn't exactly made its broad debut in the United States just yet. Rather, a less-impressive version (let's call it 5G-lite) has rolled out across several carriers, with the primary benefit of reduced latency (basically, sound and/or data transmission lags). This version of "5G" or "5GE" lacks the much-hyped, ultrafast mmWave (pronounced millimeter wave) technology that the world is expecting to revolutionize the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI).

As the <u>New York Times noted</u> in January following the Consumer Electronics Show (CES), "the shift to 5G feels like a tech revolution happening in slow motion." And there are some pretty good reasons for it. For one thing, as we noted in <u>The Sprint to 5G</u> (Part I), mmWave technology requires near line-of-sight communication between cell towers and user equipment, which in turn requires a much higher concentration of towers (and capex\*!). Therefore, much of what has rolled out falls into a lower frequency band of spectrum (not mmWave) and has been laid on top of existing towers without adding new cell sites. The tradeoff is that while capex requirements have remained lower, the blazing fast data rates, higher bandwidth and download speeds that are set to define 5G are still absent.

\*Capex stands for capital expenditure and is money spent by a business on fixed assets, suchas land, buildings, and equipment.



Stepping back from a slightly technical explanation of where things stand with the US race to 5G, since we published last year's article there have been three major developments with a material impact on the broader telecommunications space:

- 1. Trade policies aimed at curtailing China's ability to advance its 5G initiatives, specifically regarding Huawei.
- 2. The Federal Communications Commission's (FCCs) recently announced proposal that *should* free up important C-Band spectrum.
- 3. The T-Mobile and Sprint merger, which was finally permitted by a federal judge after challenges from several states.

The first item listed above has the broadest implications for international companies and countries hoping to speedily deploy 5G networks, infrastructure, and devices. The main argument against Chinese leadership in the space is that they would have both the ability to set fifth generation wireless technology standards and have the upper hand in terms of data security (which has national security implications). Based on data coming out of China, including new investments and tower instillations, their leadership in the space has looked like a virtually foregone conclusion. However, one unexpected wildcard is the recent coronavirus outbreak which could (at least marginally) slow momentum and tilt teeter the balance of power.

Huawei, China's largest telecommunications company that operates in over 170 countries, is a central figure in this debate as it has reportedly received over \$75B in government subsidies to corner the 5G equipment market. As part of its broader trade and technology war against China, the United States counter-punched by leading a coalition of nations against the company, citing national security risks.

One of its main arguments is that the Chinese government could install backdoors on Huawei equipment to spy on US networks. While the Chinese government has predictably denied the claim, Huawei currently dominates several markets, including the European Union (even outpacing Sweden's Ericsson and Finland's Nokia). This has forced many countries to examine whether following US leadership to ban Huawei equipment is the most prudent path forward. Up to this point, many countries have stopped short of implementing an all-out ban. The end-result of these efforts could be a large dent in the side of China's largest telecommunications company

rather than the complete disruption needed to alter the direction of 5G leadership, should a coordinated global response remain muted.

The second item listed above is also related to 5G leadership in the space and has become an extremely touchy subject between satellite companies that currently control C-band spectrum, the FCC, lawmakers, and large telecom operators. Without going into too much detail on the saga behind what's become an immensely entertaining public debate, satellite companies with the license to operate in the C-band formed a coalition known as the C-Band Alliance or CBA to protect their rights – and economic interests.

So, what's so important about this spectrum?

C-Band spectrum, also known as mid-band spectrum or the "goldilocks band", is uniquely suited to transmit larger amounts of data compared to low-band spectrum (i.e. 2G, 3G, and 4G bands) and over longer distances than high-band spectrum (i.e. mmWave or 5G++). Ajit Pai, chairman of the FCC, recently announced in a very widely anticipated speech that the FCC would be moving forward with a public auction for this spectrum in late-2020. Should the FCC formally pass the proposal later this month (and should the C-band alliance accept the decision) the netresult is that more spectrum will become available to telecommunications operators aiming to deploy 5G networks. While this is not the mmWave spectrum we discussed earlier, access to this important mid-band spectrum will help US companies define 5G standards and roll-out nationwide networks. The macro implication of this is that the US would make large strides to catch up in a global race they have largely trailed up to this point. And if you still have any reservations around just how important this race is to the US government, here is a quote from our Commander in Chief:

"Secure 5G networks will absolutely be a vital link to America's prosperity and national security in the 21st century. 5G will be as much as 100 times faster than the current 4G cellular networks. It will transform the way our citizens work, learn, communicate, and travel. It will make American farms more productive, American manufacturing more competitive, and American healthcare better and more accessible. Basically, it covers almost everything, when you get right down to it... We cannot allow any other country to out-compete the United States in this powerful industry of the future...The race to 5G is a race America must win, and it's a race, frankly, that our great companies are now involved in. We've given them the incentive they need. It's a race that we will win." *(Full transcript can be found here)* 

Speaking of the US government, a federal judge recently upheld a long-awaited merger between T-Mobile and Sprint, which will combine to become the largest telecommunications company in the United States. As a result of the merger, the new T-Mobile will become the first major U.S. carrier to have important assets in all three critical spectrum segments: low-band, mid-band, and millimeter wave.



Source: Forbes

The newly formed telecom behemoth still has a lot of work to integrate and utilize all three bands. However, their merger clears the path for a single US telecom company to operate across the three bands. This will likely put pressure on AT&T, Verizon, and Dish (which is planning to launch its own telecom network as a result of the merger) to gobble up additional spectrum assets in order to remain competitive.

## Conclusion

The sprint to 5G (pun intended) has moved at a much slower pace in the United States than many anticipated. This has allowed China and other nations to gain an upper hand in 5G leadership. However, with political, economic, and health pressures currently weighing on China, along with an imminent public auction that will free up important C-band spectrum for nationwide 5G deployments, the stars are aligning for the United States to make up ground in an important race that will have vast implications for global leadership in the new decade – and many decades to come.



**Michael Johnston** 

## **Tech Contributor**

## About the Author

Michael Johnston is the co-founder of <u>Teal Communications</u>, an intelligent IoT connectivity platform that also delivers hardware and software solutions for private-LTE and 5G deployments. In addition to serving as an Evergreen employee, Michael also acts as a business consultant to a Fortune 50 technology company and serves as a strategic advisor to <u>Nodesmith</u>, a platform for blockchain application development. To contact Michael, send an email to <u>mjohnston@evergreengavekal.com</u>.

DISCLOSURE: This material has been prepared or is distributed solely for informational purposes only and is not a solicitation or an offer to buy any security or instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. Any opinions, recommendations, and assumptions included in this presentation are based upon current market conditions, reflect our judgment as of the date of this presentation, and are subject to change. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. All investments involve risk including the loss of principal. All material presented is compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but accuracy cannot be guaranteed and Evergreen makes no representation as to its accuracy or completeness. Securities highlighted or discussed in this communication are mentioned for illustrative purposes only and are not a recommendation for these securities. Evergreen actively manages client portfolios at any given time.